

TWISTED SYMMETRIC GROUP ACTIONS

Akinari Hoshi

Department of Mathematics

Rikkyo University

Tokyo, Japan

E-mail: hoshi@rikkyo.ac.jp

Ming-chang Kang

Department of Mathematics

and National Taiwan University

Taipei, Taiwan

E-mail: kang@math.ntu.edu.tw

Abstract. Let K be any field, $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the rational function field of n variables over K , and S_n and A_n be the symmetric group and the alternating group of degree n respectively. For any $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$, define an action of S_n on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by $\sigma \cdot x_i = x_{\sigma(i)}$ for $\sigma \in A_n$ and $\sigma \cdot x_i = a/x_{\sigma(i)}$ for $\sigma \in S_n \setminus A_n$. **Theorem.** For any field K and $n = 3, 4, 5$, the fixed field $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}$ is rational (= purely transcendental) over K .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be any field, $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the rational function field of n variables over K , and S_n and A_n be the symmetric group and the alternating group of degree n respectively. For any $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$, define a twisted action of S_n on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma(x_i) := \begin{cases} x_{\sigma(i)}, & \text{if } \sigma \in A_n, \\ a/x_{\sigma(i)}, & \text{if } \sigma \in S_n \setminus A_n. \end{cases}$$

Consider the fixed subfield $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n} = \{\alpha \in K(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for any } \sigma \in S_n\}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 12F20, 13A50.

Key words and phrases. Rationality problem, conic bundles.

The first-named author was partially supported by Waseda University Grant for Special Research Projects No. 2007B-067 and Rikkyo University Special Fund for Research. The second-named author was partially supported by National Center for Theoretic Sciences (Taipei Office).

If $n = 2$, then $K(x_1, x_2)^{S_2} = K(x_1 + (a/x_2), ax_1/x_2)$ is rational (= purely transcendental) over K . The answer when $a = 1$ (equivalently when $a \in K^{\times 2}$) is provided by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Hajja and Kang [HK3, Theorem 3.5]). *Let K be any field and $a \in K^{\times 2}$. Then $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}$ is rational over K .*

The case when $a \in K^{\times} \setminus K^{\times 2}$ and $n \geq 3$ had been intangible for many years (see [HK3, p.638], [Ha, Example 5.12, p.147] and [Ka1, Question 3.8, p.215]); even the case $n = 3$ was unknown previously. The following Theorem 1.2 is our recent result of this question for the cases of $n = 3, 4, 5$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be any field, $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$, and S_n act on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as defined in Formula (1.1). If $n = 3, 4, 5$, then $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}$ is rational over K .*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 2. It is interesting to note that we use three different methods for the three cases of n . It seems that there is no unified proof for the three cases. One of the reasons to explain this phenomenon is that the solutions to Noether's problem for the alternating group A_n are rather different when $n = 3$ and when $n = 5$ (see Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5). Since Noether's problem for A_n is still an open problem when $n \geq 6$ (see [Mae] and [HK2, Section 4] for this problem), it is not so surprising that our question is solvable at present only for $n \leq 5$. It is still unknown whether the fixed field $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}$ of our question is rational or not when $n \geq 6$.

In Section 3 we propose another approach to this question. It will be shown that $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}$ is isomorphic to the function field of a conic bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of the form $x^2 - ay^2 = h(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ with affine coordinates $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ (see Theorem 3.4). Although this approach is valid only when $\text{char } K \neq 2$, it does provide a new technique in studying rationality problems. The structure of a conic bundle together with its rationality problem is a central subject in algebraic geometry [Is]. Fortunately, when $n = 3$ and $n = 4$, the conic bundle in our question contains singularities and the rationality problem can be solved by suitable blowing-up process. In particular, we find another proof of Theorem 1.2 when $\text{char } K \neq 2$ and $n = 3, 4$. For other rationality problems of conic bundles, see [Ka3, Section 4].

Since the fixed field $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}$ is the quotient field of the ring of invariants $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$, it seems plausible to study the rationality problem of $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}$ through the structure of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$. This strategy is carried out in Section 4 and we give another proof of Theorem 1.2 when $\text{char } K = 2$ and $n = 3, 4$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

First of all we recall two results to be used in the sequel.

Theorem 2.1 (Kang [Ka2, Theorem 2.4]). *Let K be any field and $K(x, y)$ be the rational function field of two variables over K . Let σ be a K -automorphism on $K(x, y)$ defined by*

$$\sigma : x \mapsto \frac{a}{x}, \quad y \mapsto \frac{b}{y}$$

where $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$ and $b = c(x + (a/x)) + d$ such that $c, d \in K$ and at least one of c, d is non-zero. Then $K(x, y)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(s, t)$ where

$$s = \frac{x - (a/x)}{xy - (ab/xy)}, \quad t = \frac{y - (b/y)}{xy - (ab/xy)}.$$

The following result is essentially due to Masuda [Mas, p.62] when $\text{char } K \neq 3$ (with a misprint in the original expression). We thank Y. Rikuna who pointed out that when $\text{char } K = 3$, the same formula is still valid, if we compare this formula with the proof in [Ku]. For the convenience of the reader, we provide a new proof of it.

Theorem 2.2 (Masuda [Mas, Theorem 3]). *Let K be any field, $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be the rational function field of three variables over K . Let σ be a K -automorphism on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ defined by*

$$\sigma : x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto x_3 \mapsto x_1.$$

Then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(s_1, u, v) = K(s_3, u, v)$ where s_i is the elementary symmetric function of degree i for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and u and v are defined by

$$u := \frac{x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_3^2 + x_3x_1^2 - 3x_1x_2x_3}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_1},$$

$$v := \frac{x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_1 - 3x_1x_2x_3}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_1}.$$

Moreover, we have the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} s_2 &= s_1(u + v) - 3(u^2 - uv + v^2), \\ s_3 &= s_1uv - (u^3 + v^3), \\ x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_3^2 + x_3x_1^2 &= s_1^2u - 3s_1u^2 + 3(2u - v)(u^2 - uv + v^2), \\ x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_1 &= s_1^2v - 3s_1v^2 - 3(u - 2v)(u^2 - uv + v^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. With the aid of computer packages, e.g. Mathematica or Maple, it is easy to verify the formulae of s_2 , s_3 , $x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_3^2 + x_3x_1^2$, $x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_1$ in terms of s_1 , u , v as given in the statement of the above theorem. We have $[K(x_1, x_2, x_3) : K(s_1, s_2, s_3)] = 6$ and $[K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} : K(s_1, s_2, s_3)] = 2$. Since $x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_3^2 + x_3x_1^2 \notin K(s_1, s_2, s_3)$, it follows that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(s_1, s_2, s_3, x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_3^2 + x_3x_1^2) \subset K(s_1, u, v)$. Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(s_1, u, v) = K(s_3, u, v)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 when $n = 3$ —————

Let $\sigma = (1, 2, 3)$, $\tau = (1, 2) \in S_3$.

By Theorem 2.2, we find that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(s_3, u, v)$.

Now $\tau(x_1) = a/x_2$, $\tau(x_2) = a/x_3$, $\tau(x_3) = a/x_1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(s_1) &= as_2/s_3, \quad \tau(s_2) = a^2s_1/s_3, \quad \tau(s_3) = a^3/s_3, \\ \tau(x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_3^2 + x_3x_1^2) &= a^3(x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_3^2 + x_3x_1^2)/s_3^2, \\ \tau(x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_1) &= a^3(x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_1)/s_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of Theorem 2.2, it is not difficult to find that

$$(2.1) \quad \tau : s_3 \mapsto \frac{a^3}{s_3}, \quad u \mapsto \frac{au}{u^2 - uv + v^2}, \quad v \mapsto \frac{av}{u^2 - uv + v^2}.$$

Define $w := u/v$. Then $K(s_3, u, v) = K(s_3, v, w)$ and

$$\tau : s_3 \mapsto \frac{a^3}{s_3}, \quad v \mapsto \frac{a}{v(1 - w + w^2)}, \quad w \mapsto w.$$

By Theorem 2.1, $K(s_3, v, w)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ is rational over $K(w)$. Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{S_3} = K(s_3, v, w)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ is rational over K . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 when $n = 4$ —————

Define

$$\sigma := (123) \quad : \quad x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto x_3 \mapsto x_1,$$

$$\tau := (12) \quad : \quad x_1 \mapsto a/x_2, \quad x_2 \mapsto a/x_1, \quad x_3 \mapsto a/x_3, \quad x_4 \mapsto a/x_4,$$

$$\rho_1 := (12)(34) \quad : \quad x_1 \mapsto x_2, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_1, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_4, \quad x_4 \mapsto x_3,$$

$$\rho_2 := (13)(24) \quad : \quad x_1 \mapsto x_3, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_1, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_4, \quad x_4 \mapsto x_2.$$

Note that the following is a normal series:

$$\{1\} \triangleleft V_4 = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle \triangleleft A_4 = \langle \sigma, \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle \triangleleft S_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle.$$

First we will show that $K(x_1, \dots, x_4)^{V_4}$ is rational over K .

Define

$$s_1 := x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4, \quad s_4 := x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4,$$

$$S := \frac{x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_4}{x_1 x_2 - x_3 x_4}, \quad T := \frac{x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + x_4}{x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3}, \quad U := \frac{x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_4}{x_1 x_3 - x_2 x_4}.$$

Then we have $K(s_1, s_4, S, T, U) \subset K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{V_4}$ and

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma : s_1 \mapsto s_1, \quad s_4 \mapsto s_4, \quad S \mapsto T, \quad T \mapsto U, \quad U \mapsto S.$$

Lemma 2.3. $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{V_4} = K(s_1, S, T, U) = K(s_4, S, T, U).$

Proof. Define

$$u_1 := S + T + U, \quad u_2 := ST + TU + SU, \quad u_3 := STU.$$

Then it can be checked that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = K(s_1, S, T, U)(x_4)$ directly from the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{4 - s_1 T + (-2u_1 + s_1 T(S + U))x_4 + SU(1 - s_1 T)x_4^2 + u_3 x_4^3}{S - T + U - SUx_4}, \\ x_2 &= \frac{4 - s_1 U + (-2u_1 + s_1 U(T + S))x_4 + TS(1 - s_1 U)x_4^2 + u_3 x_4^3}{T - U + S - TSx_4}, \\ x_3 &= \frac{4 - s_1 S + (-2u_1 + s_1 S(U + T))x_4 + UT(1 - s_1 S)x_4^2 + u_3 x_4^3}{U - S + T - UTx_4}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $[K(s_1, S, T, U)(x_4) : K(s_1, S, T, U)] \leq 4$ by the equality

$$u_1^2 - 4u_2 + s_1 u_3 + (8 - s_1 u_1)u_3 x_4 - (2u_1 - s_1 u_2)u_3 x_4^2 - s_1 u_3^2 x_4^3 + u_3^2 x_4^4 = 0.$$

Hence we get $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{V_4} = K(s_1, S, T, U)$. It also follows from the equality $s_4 = (u_1^2 - 4u_2 + u_3s_1)/u_3^2$ that $K(s_1, S, T, U) = K(s_4, S, T, U)$. $\square$

Now we have

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{S_4} = (K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{V_4})^{S_4/V_4} = K(s_4, S, T, U)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}.$$

The action of $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ on $K(s_4, S, T, U)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : s_4 &\mapsto s_4, \quad S \mapsto T, \quad T \mapsto U, \quad U \mapsto S, \\ \tau : s_4 &\mapsto \frac{a^4}{s_4}, \quad S \mapsto \frac{-S + T + U}{aTU}, \quad T \mapsto \frac{S + T - U}{aST}, \quad U \mapsto \frac{S - T + U}{aSU}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$N := \begin{cases} \frac{s_4 + a^2}{s_4 - a^2}, & \text{if char } K \neq 2, \\ \frac{s_4}{s_4 + a^2}, & \text{if char } K = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then we get $K(s_4, S, T, U) = K(N, S, T, U)$, $\sigma(N) = N$ and

$$\tau(N) = \begin{cases} -N, & \text{if char } K \neq 2, \\ N + 1, & \text{if char } K = 2. \end{cases}$$

Applying [HK2, Theorem 1], we find that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{S_4} = K(N, S, T, U)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}$ is rational over K , provided that $K(S, T, U)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}$ is rational over K . Explicitly, define P by

$$P := \begin{cases} N \cdot \left(S + T + U + \frac{S^2 + T^2 + U^2 - 2(ST + TU + US)}{aSTU} \right), & \text{if char } K \neq 2, \\ N + \frac{S + T + U}{S + T + U + aSTU}, & \text{if char } K = 2 \end{cases}$$

then $K(N, S, T, U) = K(P, S, T, U)$ and $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{S_4} = K(P, S, T, U)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle} = K(S, T, U)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}(P)$ where $\sigma(P) = \tau(P) = P$.

Thus it remains to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Let K be any field and $K(S, T, U)$ be the rational function field of three variables S, T, U over K . Let σ and τ be K -automorphisms of $K(S, T, U)$*

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &: S \mapsto T, \quad T \mapsto U, \quad U \mapsto S, \\ \tau &: S \mapsto \frac{-S+T+U}{aTU}, \quad T \mapsto \frac{S+T-U}{aST}, \quad U \mapsto \frac{S-T+U}{aSU}\end{aligned}$$

where $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \cong S_3$ and $K(S, T, U)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}$ is rational over K .

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, we may choose a transcendence basis of $K(S, T, U)^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$ over K by

$$K(S, T, U)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(f, g, h)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}f &= S + T + U, \\ g &= \frac{ST^2 + TU^2 + US^2 - 3STU}{S^2 + T^2 + U^2 - ST - TU - US}, \\ h &= \frac{S^2T + T^2U + U^2S - 3STU}{S^2 + T^2 + U^2 - ST - TU - US}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $K(S, T, U)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle} = (K(S, T, U)^{\langle \sigma \rangle})^{\langle \tau \rangle} = K(f, g, h)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$. The action of τ on $K(f, g, h)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau : f &\mapsto \frac{f^2 - 4f(g+h) + 12X}{aY}, \\ g &\mapsto \frac{-f^2h(f-4h) + 2f(f-2g-8h)X + 24X^2 - 8gY}{a(f^2 - 2f(g+h) + 4X)Y}, \\ h &\mapsto \frac{-f^2(fg+4h^2) + 6f(f-2g)X + 24X^2 - 4(f+2h)Y}{a(f^2 - 2f(g+h) + 4X)Y}\end{aligned}$$

where $X = g^2 - gh + h^2$ and $Y = g^3 - fgh + h^3$.

Case 1. $\text{char } K \neq 2$.

Define

$$F := g + h, \quad G := g - h, \quad H := f - (g + h).$$

Then $K(S, T, U)^{(\sigma)} = K(f, g, h) = K(F, G, H)$ and τ acts on $K(F, G, H)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F &\longmapsto \frac{4(27G^4 - 7FG^2H + 5G^2H^2 - FH^3)}{a(4FG^2 - F^2H + G^2H)(3G^2 + H^2)}, \\ G &\longmapsto \frac{4G(FG^2 + 7G^2H - FH^2 + H^3)}{a(4FG^2 - F^2H + G^2H)(3G^2 + H^2)}, \\ H &\longmapsto \frac{4H(FG^2 + 7G^2H - FH^2 + H^3)}{a(4FG^2 - F^2H + G^2H)(3G^2 + H^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\tau(G/H) = G/H$. Define

$$A := F/G, \quad B := G, \quad C := G/H.$$

Then $K(S, T, U)^{(\sigma)} = K(F, G, H) = K(A, B, C)$ and τ acts on $K(A, B, C)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A &\longmapsto \frac{-A + 5C - 7AC^2 + 27C^3}{1 - AC + 7C^2 + AC^3}, \\ B &\longmapsto \frac{4(1 - AC + 7C^2 + AC^3)}{aB(1 - A^2 + 4AC)(1 + 3C^2)}, \quad C \longmapsto C. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$D := 1 - AC + 7C^2 + AC^3, \quad E := 2C(C^2 - 1)/B.$$

Then $K(A, B, C) = K(C, D, E)$ and the action of τ on $K(C, D, E)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C &\longmapsto C, \quad D \longmapsto \frac{(1 + 3C^2)^3}{D}, \\ E &\longmapsto -a(1 + 3C^2) \left(D + \frac{(1 + 3C^2)^3}{D} - 2(1 + 5C^2 + 2C^4) \right) / E. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the assertion follows from Theorem 2.1.

Case 2. $\text{char } K = 2$.

The action of τ on $K(f, g, h)$ is given by

$$\tau : f \longmapsto \frac{f^2}{aY}, \quad g \longmapsto \frac{fh}{aY}, \quad h \longmapsto \frac{fg}{aY}$$

where $Y = g^3 + fgh + h^3$. Define

$$A := f/(g + h), \quad B := g/h, \quad C := 1/h.$$

Then $K(f, g, h) = K(A, B, C)$ and τ acts on $K(A, B, C)$ by

$$A \longmapsto A, \quad B \longmapsto \frac{1}{B}, \quad C \longmapsto \frac{a}{A} \left(B + \frac{1}{B} + A + 1 \right) / C.$$

Hence the assertion follows from Theorem 2.1.

We will give another proof when $n = 4$ and $\text{char } K = 2$ in Section 4. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 when $n = 5$ —————

We recall Maeda's Theorem for A_5 action [Mae].

Theorem 2.5 (Maeda [Mae]). *Let K be any field, $K(x_1, \dots, x_5)$ be the rational function field of five variables over K . Then $K(x_1, \dots, x_5)^{A_5}$ is rational over K . Moreover a transcendental basis $F_1, \dots, F_5$ of $K(x_1, \dots, x_5)^{A_5}$ over K may be given explicitly as follows:*

(i) When $\text{char } K \neq 2$;

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in S_5} \sigma([12][13][14][15][23]^4[45]^4 x_1)}{\sum_{\sigma \in S_5} \sigma([12][13][14][15][23]^4[45]^4)}, \\ F_2 &= \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in S_5} \sigma([12]^3[13]^3[14]^3[15]^3[23]^{10}[45]^{10})}{\prod_{i < j} [ij]^2 \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in S_5} \sigma([12][13][14][15][23]^4[45]^4)}, \\ F_3 &= \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in S_5} \sigma([12]^3[13]^3[14]^3[15]^3[23]^{10}[45]^{10} x_1)}{\prod_{i < j} [ij]^2 \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in S_5} \sigma([12][13][14][15][23]^4[45]^4)}, \\ F_4 &= \frac{\sum_{\mu \in R_1} \mu([12]^2[13]^2[23]^2[45]^4)}{\prod_{i < j} [ij]}, \\ F_5 &= \frac{\sum_{\mu \in R_1} \mu([12]^2[13]^2[23]^2[14]^4[24]^4[34]^4[15]^4[25]^4[35]^4)}{\prod_{i < j} [ij]^3} \end{aligned}$$

where $[ij] = x_i - x_j$ and $R_1 = \{1, (34), (354), (234), (2354), (24)(35), (1234), (12354), (124)(35), (13524)\}$.

(ii) When $\text{char } K = 2$;

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \frac{\sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k}{\sum_{i < j} x_i x_j}, \quad F_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^5 ([12][13][14][15] \cdot I^2)^{(1i)}}{\prod_{i < j} [ij] \cdot \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j}, \\ F_3 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^5 ([12][13][14][15] \cdot I^2 \cdot x_1)^{(1i)}}{\prod_{i < j} [ij] \cdot \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j}, \\ F_4 &= \frac{\sum_{\nu \in R_3} \nu([12]^2[34]^2[13][24][15][25][35][45])}{\prod_{i < j} [ij]}, \quad F_5 = \text{the same } F_5 \text{ as in (i)} \end{aligned}$$

where $[ij] = x_i - x_j$, $I = \sum_{\tau \in R_2} \tau(x_2 x_3 (x_2 x_3 + x_4^2 + x_5^2))$, $R_2 = \{1, (34), (354), (234), (2354), (24)(35)\}$ and $R_3 = \{1, (234), (243), (152), (15234), (15243), (125), (12345), (12435), (15432), (154), (15423), (15342), (15324), (153)\}$.

In the above theorem, note that R_1 , R_2 and R_3 are coset representatives with respect to various subgroups:

$$S_5 = \bigcup_{\mu \in R_1} H_1 \mu, \quad H = \bigcup_{\tau \in R_2} H_2 \tau, \quad A_5 = \bigcup_{\nu \in R_3} H_3 \nu$$

where $H_1 = \langle (12), (13), (45) \rangle \cong D_6$, $H = \langle (23), (24), (25) \rangle \cong S_4$, $H_2 = \langle (23), (45) \rangle \cong V_4$, $H_3 = \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle \cong V_4$, and D_6 is the dihedral group of order 12.

Now we start to prove Theorem 1.2 when $n = 5$.

Let $\tau = (12) \in S_5$.

By Theorem 2.5, we see that $K(x_1, \dots, x_5)^{A_5} = K(F_1, \dots, F_5)$.

With the aid of computer packages, e.g. Mathematica or Maple, we can evaluate the action of τ on $K(F_1, \dots, F_5)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : F_1 &\longmapsto \frac{a}{F_1}, & F_2 &\longmapsto \frac{F_3}{F_1}, & F_3 &\longmapsto \frac{aF_2}{F_1}, \\ & & F_4 &\longmapsto -F_4, & F_5 &\longmapsto -F_5, \text{ when char } K \neq 2; \\ \tau : F_1 &\longmapsto \frac{a}{F_1}, & F_2 &\longmapsto \frac{F_3}{F_1}, & F_3 &\longmapsto \frac{aF_2}{F_1}, \\ & & F_4 &\longmapsto F_4 + 1, & F_5 &\longmapsto F_5, \text{ when char } K = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. char $K \neq 2$.

Define

$$G_1 := F_1, \quad G_2 := \frac{F_4 + 1}{F_4 - 1}, \quad G_3 := F_4 \left(F_2 - \frac{F_3}{F_1} \right), \quad G_4 := F_2 + \frac{F_3}{F_1}, \quad G_5 := F_4 F_5.$$

Then we have $K(x_1, \dots, x_5)^{A_5} = K(F_1, \dots, F_5) = K(G_1, \dots, G_5)$ and

$$\tau : G_1 \longmapsto \frac{a}{G_1}, \quad G_2 \longmapsto \frac{1}{G_2}, \quad G_3 \longmapsto G_3, \quad G_4 \longmapsto G_4, \quad G_5 \longmapsto G_5.$$

Hence it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $K(x_1, \dots, x_5)^{S_5} = K(G_3, G_4, G_5)(G_1, G_2)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ is rational over K .

Case 2. char $K = 2$.

Define

$$G_1 := F_1, \quad G_2 := F_2, \quad G_3 := \frac{F_2 F_3}{F_1}, \quad G_4 := F_4 + \frac{F_3}{F_1 F_2 + F_3}, \quad G_5 := F_5.$$

Then we have $K(x_1, \dots, x_5)^{A_5} = K(F_1, \dots, F_5) = K(G_1, \dots, G_5)$ and

$$\tau : G_1 \mapsto \frac{a}{G_1}, \quad G_2 \mapsto \frac{G_3}{G_2}, \quad G_3 \mapsto G_3, \quad G_4 \mapsto G_4, \quad G_5 \mapsto G_5.$$

We use Theorem 2.1 and find that $K(x_1, \dots, x_5)^{S_5} = K(G_3, G_4, G_5)(G_1, G_2)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ is rational over K . $\square$

3. CONIC BUNDLES : ANOTHER APPROACH WHEN $\text{char } K \neq 2$

Throughout this section we assume that $\text{char } K \neq 2$.

In this section, we will give another proof of Theorem 1.2 when $n = 3, 4$ (and $\text{char } K \neq 2$) by presenting $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}$ as the function field of a conic bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Consider the action of S_n on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ defined by Formula (1.1). Because of Theorem 1.1, we may assume that $a \in K^\times \setminus K^{\times 2}$ without loss of generality.

Define $\alpha := \sqrt{a}$ and $\text{Gal}(K(\alpha)/K) = \langle \rho \rangle$ where $\rho(\alpha) = -\alpha$. Extend the actions of S_n and ρ to $K(\alpha)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = K(\alpha) \otimes_K K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by requiring that S_n acts trivially on $K(\alpha)$ and τ acts trivially on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Define $z_i := (\alpha - x_i)/(\alpha + x_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We find that $K(\alpha)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = K(\alpha)(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and

$$\sigma : z_i \mapsto -z_{\sigma(i)}$$

for any $\sigma \in S_n \setminus A_n$, and

$$\rho : \alpha \mapsto -\alpha, \quad z_i \mapsto 1/z_i.$$

Define $z_0 := z_1 + \dots + z_n$, $y_i := z_i/z_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $y_1 + \dots + y_n = 1$.

Let $t_1, \dots, t_n$ be the elementary symmetric functions of $y_1, \dots, y_n$. In particular, $t_1 = 1$. Define $\Delta := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i - y_j) \in K(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $u := z_0 \cdot \Delta$. Note that Δ^2 can be written as a polynomial in $t_1, \dots, t_n$, and thus in $t_2, \dots, t_n$.

Lemma 3.1. $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n} = K(\alpha)(t_2, \dots, t_n, u)^{\langle \rho \rangle}$ and

$$\rho : \alpha \mapsto -\alpha, \quad t_i \mapsto t_{n-i} (t_n/t_{n-1})^i t_n^{-1}, \quad u \mapsto f(t_2, \dots, t_n) \cdot u^{-1}$$

where $f(t_2, \dots, t_n) \in K(t_2, \dots, t_n)$ is given by

$$(3.1) \quad f(t_2, \dots, t_n) := (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} t_n^{-(n-1)} (t_n/t_{n-1})^{(n+1)(n-2)/2} \Delta^2$$

and we adopt the convention that $t_0 = t_1 = 1$.

Proof. Note that $K(\alpha)(y_1, \dots, y_n, z_0) = K(\alpha)(y_1, \dots, y_n, u)$. Since u is fixed by the action of S_n , it follows that $K(\alpha)(y_1, \dots, y_n, z_0)^{S_n} = K(\alpha)(y_1, \dots, y_n)^{S_n}(u) = K(\alpha)(t_2, \dots, t_n, u)$ (the last equality follows, for example, from the proof of [HK2, Lemma 1] because $\sigma(y_i) = y_{\sigma(i)}$ for any $\sigma \in S_n$, any $1 \leq i \leq n$).

Thus $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n} = \{K(\alpha)^{\langle \rho \rangle}(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}^{S_n} = K(\alpha)(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{\langle S_n, \rho \rangle} = \{K(\alpha)(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}\}^{\langle \rho \rangle} = K(\alpha)(t_2, \dots, t_n, u)^{\langle \rho \rangle}$.

It is not difficult to verify the action of ρ on $K(\alpha)(t_2, \dots, t_n, u)$ is given as the form in the statement of this lemma. $\square$

We write

$$\begin{cases} n = 2m + 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ n = 2m, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Define

$$(3.2) \quad u_i := t_{i+1}, \quad u_{n-i} := \rho(t_{i+1}) = t_{n-(i+1)} t_n^i / t_{n-1}^{i+1}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, m-1)$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} u_m := t_{m+1}, & u_{m+1} := \rho(t_{m+1}) = t_m t_n^m / t_{n-1}^{m+1}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ u_m := t_n / t_{n-1}, & & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Then we obtain

Lemma 3.2. $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n} = K(\alpha)(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u)^{\langle \rho \rangle}$ and

$$\rho : \alpha \longmapsto -\alpha, \quad u_i \longmapsto u_{n-i}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1),$$

$$u \longmapsto g(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \cdot u^{-1}$$

where $g(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) = f(t_2, \dots, t_n)$ and $f(t_2, \dots, t_n)$ is given as in (3.1).

Proof. The assertion follows from $K(\alpha)(t_2, \dots, t_n, u) = K(\alpha)(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u)$ and Lemma 3.1. Indeed we may show $K(t_2, \dots, t_n) \subset K(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ as follows.

Case 1. $n = 2m + 1$ is odd.

The fact that $t_2, \dots, t_{m+1} \in K(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ follows from Formulae (3.2) and (3.3).

$t_n \in K(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ because

$$\left(\frac{u_m^{m+1}}{u_{m-1}^m}\right) u_{m+1}^m \left(\frac{1}{u_{m+2}}\right)^{m+1} = \left(\frac{t_{m+1}^{m+1}}{t_m^m}\right) \left(\frac{t_m t_n^m}{t_{n-1}^{m+1}}\right)^m \left(\frac{t_{n-1}^m}{t_{m+1} t_n^{m-1}}\right)^{m+1} = t_n.$$

$t_{n-1} \in K(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ because

$$t_n \left(\frac{u_{m-1}}{u_m}\right) u_{m+2} \left(\frac{1}{u_{m+1}}\right) = t_n \left(\frac{t_m}{t_{m+1}}\right) \left(\frac{t_{m+1} t_n^{m-1}}{t_{n-1}^m}\right) \left(\frac{t_{n-1}^{m+1}}{t_m t_n^m}\right) = t_{n-1}.$$

From Formula (3.2) we find that $t_{n-(i+1)} = u_{n-i} t_{n-1}^{i+1} / t_n^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-2$. Thus $t_{m+2}, \dots, t_{n-2} \in K(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$.

Case 2. $n = 2m$ is even.

$t_2, \dots, t_m \in K(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ follows from Formula (3.2).

From Formulae (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\frac{u_{k+1}}{u_{k+2}} = \frac{t_k}{t_{k+1}} \cdot \frac{t_n}{t_{n-1}} = \frac{t_k}{t_{k+1}} \cdot u_m,$$

where $k = m, \dots, 2m-3$. We find that $t_{k+1} = t_k u_m u_{k+2} / u_{k+1} \in K(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ for $m \leq k \leq 2m-3$.

From Formula (3.2), we have $u_{n-1} = t_{n-2} t_n / t_{n-1}^2 = t_{n-2} u_m / t_{n-1}$. Hence $t_{n-1} = t_{n-2} u_m / u_{n-1} \in K(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$.

Since $t_n = u_m t_{n-1}$, it follows that $t_n \in K(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$.

□

We will change the variables $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$ by $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ defined as follows.

When $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, define

$$v_i := \frac{u_i + u_{n-i}}{2}, \quad v_{n-i} := \frac{\alpha(u_i - u_{n-i})}{2},$$

where $i = 1, \dots, m$.

When $n = 2m$ is even, define

$$v_m := u_m, \quad v_i := \frac{u_i + u_{n-i}}{2}, \quad v_{n-i} := \frac{\alpha(u_i - u_{n-i})}{2},$$

where $i = 1, \dots, m-1$.

Thus $K(\alpha)(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u) = K(\alpha)(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, u)$.

By Lemma 3.2, we get

Lemma 3.3. $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n} = K(\alpha)(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, u)^{\langle \rho \rangle}$ and

$$\rho : \alpha \longmapsto -\alpha, \quad v_i \longmapsto v_i, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1), \quad u \longmapsto h(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \cdot u^{-1}$$

where $h(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) = f(t_2, \dots, t_n)$ and $f(t_2, \dots, t_n)$ is given as in (3.1).

Hence we get the following theorem which asserts that $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}$ is the function field of a conic bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of the form $x^2 - ay^2 = h(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ with affine coordinates $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ (see, for example, [Sh, p.73] for conic bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$).

Theorem 3.4. $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n} = K(x, y, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ and the generators $x, y, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ satisfy the relation $x^2 - ay^2 = h(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ where $h(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) = f(t_2, \dots, t_n)$ and $f(t_2, \dots, t_n)$ is given as in (3.1).

Proof. Define

$$x := \frac{1}{2} \left(u + \frac{h(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})}{u} \right), \quad y := \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(u - \frac{h(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})}{u} \right).$$

Then we obtain $K(x, y, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \subset K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n} = K(\alpha)(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, u)$. Thus $K(x, y, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) = K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{S_n}$ follows from $K(x, y, v_1, \dots, v_n)(u) = K(\alpha)(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, u)$ and $[K(x, y, v_1, \dots, v_n)(u) : K(x, y, v_1, \dots, v_n)] = 2$. We also have $x^2 - ay^2 = h(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ by the definition. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 when $n = 3$ and $\text{char } K \neq 2$ —————

Step 1. By Lemma 3.1 we find that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{S_3} = K(\alpha)(t_2, t_3, u)^{\langle \rho \rangle}$ where

$$\rho : \alpha \longmapsto -\alpha, \quad t_2 \longmapsto t_2^{-2} t_3, \quad t_3 \longmapsto t_2^{-3} t_3^2, \quad u \longmapsto -t_2^{-2} \Delta^2 \cdot u^{-1}.$$

Note that $\Delta^2 = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (y_i - y_j)^2 = t_2^2 - 4t_2^3 - 4t_3 + 18t_2 t_3 - 27t_3^2$ because $t_1 = 1$.

Define $u_1 := t_2$, $u_2 := \rho(t_2) = t_2^{-2} t_3$. Then $K(\alpha)(t_2, t_3, u) = K(\alpha)(u_1, u_2, u)$ and

$$\rho : u_1 \longmapsto u_2 \longmapsto u_1, \quad u \longmapsto g(u_1, u_2) \cdot u^{-1}$$

where $g(u_1, u_2) = -1 + 4u_1 + 4u_2 - 18u_1 u_2 + 27u_1^2 u_2^2$.

Define $v_1 := (u_1 + u_2)/2$, $v_2 := \alpha(u_1 - u_2)/2$. Then $\rho : v_1 \mapsto v_1, v_2 \mapsto v_2$ and $g(u_1, u_2) = h(v_1, v_2)$ where

$$h(v_1, v_2) = -1 + 8v_1 - 18v_1^2 + 27v_1^4 + (18/a)v_2^2 - (54/a)v_1^2v_2^2 + (27/a^2)v_2^4.$$

Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{S_3} = K(\alpha)(v_1, v_2, u)^{\langle \rho \rangle} = K(x, y, v_1, v_2)$ where

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \left(u + \frac{h(v_1, v_2)}{u} \right), \quad y = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(u - \frac{h(v_1, v_2)}{u} \right).$$

Note that x and y satisfy the relation

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} x^2 - ay^2 &= h(v_1, v_2) \\ &= (1 + v_1)(-1 + 3v_1)^3 - (18/a)v_2^2(-1 + 3v_1^2) + (27/a^2)v_2^4. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Suppose that $\text{char } K = 3$. Then the relation (3.4) becomes $x^2 - ay^2 = -1 - v_1$. Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{S_3} = K(x, y, v_1, v_2) = K(x, y, v_2)$ is rational over K .

Step 3. From now on, we assume that $\text{char } K \neq 2, 3$.

We normalize the generators v_1, v_2 by defining

$$T_1 := 3v_1, \quad T_2 := 3v_2/a.$$

We get $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{S_3} = K(x, y, T_1, T_2)$ with a relation

$$(3.5) \quad 3x^2 - 3ay^2 = -3 + 8T_1 - 6T_1^2 + T_1^4 + 6aT_2^2 - 2aT_1^2T_2^2 + a^2T_2^4.$$

Step 4. Find the singularities of (3.5). We get $x = y = -1 + T_1 = T_2 = 0$. Define $T_3 := -1 + T_1$. The relation (3.5) becomes

$$(3.6) \quad 3x^2 - 3ay^2 = 4aT_2^2 + a^2T_2^4 - 4aT_2^2T_3 - 2aT_2^2T_3^2 + 4T_3^3 + T_3^4.$$

Step 5. Blow-up the equation (3.6), i.e. define $X_2 := x/T_3$, $Y_2 := y/T_3$, $T_4 := T_2/T_3$. Then $K(x, y, T_1, T_2) = K(x, y, T_2, T_3) = K(X_2, Y_2, T_3, T_4)$ and the relation (3.6) becomes

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 3X_2^2 - 3aY_2^2 &= 4T_3 + T_3^2 + 4aT_4^2 - 4aT_3T_4^2 - 2aT_3^2T_4^2 + a^2T_3^2T_4^4 \\ &= (T_3 - aT_3T_4^2)^2 + 4(T_3 - aT_3T_4^2) + 4aT_4^2 \\ &= (T_3 - aT_3T_4^2)(4 + T_3 - aT_3T_4^2) + 4aT_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &:= \frac{X_2}{T_3 - aT_3T_4^2}, & Y_3 &:= \frac{Y_2}{T_3 - aT_3T_4^2}, \\ S_1 &:= \frac{4 + T_3 - aT_3T_4^2}{T_3 - aT_3T_4^2}, & S_2 &:= \frac{T_4}{T_3 - aT_3T_4^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $K(X_2, Y_2, T_3, T_4) = K(X_3, Y_3, S_1, S_2)$. For $S_1 \in K(X_3, Y_3, S_1, S_2)$ and S_1 is a fractional linear transformation of the “variable” $T_3 - aT_3T_4^2$. Hence $T_3 - aT_3T_4^2 \in K(X_3, Y_3, S_1, S_2)$. Thus $T_4 = S_2 \cdot (T_3 - aT_3T_4^2) \in K(X_3, Y_3, S_1, S_2)$ also. Now S_1 is a fractional linear transformation of T_3 with coefficients in $K(T_4)$. Hence $T_3 \in K(X_3, Y_3, S_1, S_2)$. It follows that $X_2, Y_2 \in K(X_3, Y_3, S_1, S_2)$ also.

The relation (3.7) becomes

$$3X_3^2 - 3aY_3^2 = S_1 + 4aS_2^2$$

which is linear in S_1 . Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{S_3} = K(X_3, Y_3, S_1, S_2) = K(X_3, Y_3, S_2)$ is rational over K .

Step 6. Here is another proof. Instead of the method in Step 5, we may proceed as follows:

Define $X_4 := x/T_3^2$, $Y_4 := y/T_3^2$, $T_4 := T_2/T_3$, $T_5 := 1/T_3$. Then $K(x, y, T_2, T_3) = K(X_4, Y_4, T_4, T_5)$ and the relation (3.6) becomes

$$(3.8) \quad 3X_4^2 - 3aY_4^2 = 1 - 2aT_4^2 + a^2T_4^4 + 4T_5 - 4aT_4^2T_5 + 4aT_4^2T_5^2.$$

The singularities of (3.8) are $X_4 = Y_4 = T_4 \pm (1/\sqrt{a}) = T_5 = 0$. Blow-up with respect to $1 - aT_4^2$, i.e. define

$$X_5 := X_4/(1 - aT_4^2), \quad Y_5 := Y_4/(1 - aT_4^2), \quad T_6 := T_5/(1 - aT_4^2).$$

Then $K(X_4, Y_4, T_4, T_5) = K(X_5, Y_5, T_4, T_6)$ and the relation (3.8) becomes

$$(3.9) \quad 3X_5^2 - 3aY_5^2 = 1 + 4T_6 + 4aT_4^2T_6^2.$$

Thus we get $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{S_3} = K(X_5, Y_5, T_4T_6, T_6) = K(X_5, Y_5, T_4T_6)$ is rational over K because (3.9) becomes linear in T_6 . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 when $n = 4$ and $\text{char } K \neq 2$ —————

Step 1. By Lemma 3.1 we find that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{S_4} = K(\alpha)(t_2, t_3, t_4, u)^{(\rho)}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\rho : \alpha &\longmapsto -\alpha, & t_2 &\longmapsto t_2 t_3^{-2} t_4, & t_3 &\longmapsto t_3^{-3} t_4^2, & t_4 &\longmapsto t_3^{-4} t_4^3, \\ u &\longmapsto t_3^{-5} t_4^2 \Delta^2 \cdot u^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (y_i - y_j)^2 \\ &= t_2^2 t_3^2 - 4t_2^3 t_3^2 - 4t_3^3 + 18t_2 t_3^3 - 27t_3^4 - 4t_2^3 t_4 + 16t_2^4 t_4 + 18t_2 t_3 t_4 - 80t_2^2 t_3 t_4 \\ &\quad - 6t_3^2 t_4 + 144t_2 t_3^2 t_4 - 27t_4^2 + 144t_2 t_4^2 - 128t_2^2 t_4^2 - 192t_3 t_4^2 + 256t_4^3.\end{aligned}$$

Define $u_1 := t_2$, $u_2 := t_4/t_3$, $u_3 := \rho(t_2) = t_2 t_4/t_3^2$. Then $K(\alpha)(t_2, t_3, t_4, u) = K(\alpha)(u_1, u_2, u_3, u)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho : \alpha &\longmapsto -\alpha, & u_1 &\longmapsto u_3 \longmapsto u_1, & u_2 &\longmapsto u_2, \\ u &\longmapsto g(u_1, u_2, u_3) \cdot u^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}g(u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \frac{u_2}{u_1 u_3} \left(-27u_1^2 u_2^2 - 4u_1 u_2 u_3 + 18u_1^2 u_2 u_3 - 6u_1 u_2^2 u_3 + 144u_1^2 u_2^2 u_3 \right. \\ &\quad - 192u_1 u_2^3 u_3 + 256u_1 u_2^4 u_3 + u_1^2 u_3^2 - 4u_1^3 u_3^2 + 18u_1 u_2 u_3^2 \\ &\quad \left. - 80u_1^2 u_2 u_3^2 - 27u_2^2 u_3^2 + 144u_1 u_2^2 u_3^2 - 128u_1^2 u_2^2 u_3^2 - 4u_1^2 u_3^3 + 16u_1^3 u_3^3 \right).\end{aligned}$$

Define $v_1 := (u_1 + u_3)/2$, $v_2 := u_2$, $v_3 = \alpha(u_1 - u_3)/2$. Then we obtain $K(\alpha)(u_1, u_2, u_3, u) = K(\alpha)(v_1, v_2, v_3, u)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho : \alpha &\longmapsto -\alpha, & v_1 &\longmapsto v_1, & v_2 &\longmapsto v_2, & v_3 &\longmapsto v_3, \\ u &\longmapsto h(v_1, v_2, v_3) \cdot u^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

where $h(v_1, v_2, v_3) = g(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned} h(v_1, v_2, v_3) = & \frac{v_2}{av_1^2 - v_3^2} \left(av_1^2 v_2 (-1 + 4v_1 - 8v_2)^2 (v_1^2 - 4v_2 + 4v_1 v_2 + 4v_2^2) \right. \\ & - 2v_2 v_3^2 (v_1^2 - 8v_1^3 + 24v_1^4 - 2v_2 + 18v_1 v_2 - 80v_1^2 v_2 \\ & + 24v_2^2 + 144v_1 v_2^2 - 128v_1^2 v_2^2 - 96v_2^3 + 128v_2^4) \\ & \left. - (1/a)v_2 v_3^4 (-1 + 8v_1 - 48v_1^2 + 80v_2 + 128v_2^2) - (16/a^2)v_2 v_3^6 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Because $h(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is still complicated, we define p, q, r as follows,

$$p := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{u_1} + \frac{1}{u_3} \right) u_2, \quad q := \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{1}{u_1} - \frac{1}{u_3} \right) u_2, \quad r := 4u_2.$$

Then $K(\alpha)(v_1, v_2, v_3, u) = K(\alpha)(p, q, r, u)$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \frac{av_1 v_2}{av_1^2 - v_3^2}, \quad q = -\frac{av_2 v_3}{av_1^2 - v_3^2}, \quad r = 4v_2, \\ v_1 &= \frac{apr}{4(ap^2 - q^2)}, \quad v_2 = \frac{r}{4}, \quad v_3 = -\frac{apr}{4(ap^2 - q^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{S_4} = K(\alpha)(p, q, r, u)^{(\rho)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \alpha &\longmapsto -\alpha, \quad p \longmapsto p, \quad q \longmapsto q, \quad r \longmapsto r, \\ u &\longmapsto \frac{r^2}{64(ap^2 - q^2)^2} \cdot \frac{H(p, q, r)}{u} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad H(p, q, r) &= a^2(p - r + 2pr)^2(-16p^2 + r + 4pr + 4p^2r) \\ &\quad - a(-32p^2 + r + 36pr - 12p^2r - 20r^2 + 72pr^2 \\ &\quad - 96p^2r^2 - 8r^3 + 32p^2r^3)q^2 + 16(-1 + r)^3q^4. \end{aligned}$$

Define $U := u \cdot r / (8(ap^2 - q^2))$. Then we get $K(\alpha)(p, q, r, u) = K(\alpha)(p, q, r, U)$ and ρ acts on $K(\alpha)(p, q, r, U)$ by

$$\rho : \alpha \longmapsto -\alpha, \quad p \longmapsto p, \quad q \longmapsto q, \quad r \longmapsto r, \quad U \longmapsto H(p, q, r)/U.$$

Hence $K(x_1, \dots, x_4)^{S_4} = K(\alpha)(p, q, r, U)^{(\rho)} = K(X, Y, p, q, r)$ where

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \left(U + \frac{g(p, q, r)}{U} \right), \quad Y = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(U - \frac{g(p, q, r)}{U} \right).$$

Note that X and Y satisfy the relation

$$(3.11) \quad X^2 - aY^2 = H(p, q, r).$$

Step 3. Because $H(p, q, r)$ in (3.10) is biquadratic equation with respect to q and its constant term has the square factor $(p - r + 2pr)^2$, we define

$$p_2 := p - r + 2pr.$$

Then $p = (p_2 + r)/(1 + 2r)$. We also define $X_2 := X(1 + 2r)$, $Y_2 := Y(1 + 2r)$.

Then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{S_4} = K(X_2, Y_2, p_2, q, r)$ and the relation (3.11) becomes

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} X_2^2 - aY_2^2 &= a^2p_2^2(-16p_2^2 + r - 28p_2r + 4p_2^2r - 8r^2 + 16p_2r^2 + 16r^3) \\ &\quad - a(-32p_2^2 + r - 28p_2r - 12p_2^2r - 12r^2 + 120p_2r^2 \\ &\quad - 96p_2^2r^2 + 48r^3 - 48p_2r^3 + 32p_2^2r^3 - 64r^4 + 64p_2r^4)q^2 \\ &\quad + 16(-1 + r)^3(1 + 2r)^2q^4. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the right hand side of (3.12) is biquadratic in q with constant term $a^2p_2^2(-16p_2^2 + r - 28p_2r + 4p_2^2r - 8r^2 + 16p_2r^2 + 16r^3)$.

Hence we define $p_3 := p_2/q$, $X_3 := X_2/q$, $Y_3 := Y_2/q$. Then the relation (3.12) becomes quadratic in q as follows:

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} X_3^2 - aY_3^2 &= ar(-1 + 4r)^2(-1 + ap_3^2 + 4r) \\ &\quad + 4ap_3r(7 - 7ap_3^2 - 30r + 4ap_3^2r + 12r^2 - 16r^3)q \\ &\quad + 4(-1 + ap_3^2 - 4r - 4r^2)(4 - 4ap_3^2 - 12r + ap_3^2r + 12r^2 - 4r^3)q^2. \end{aligned}$$

Define $q_2 := 1/q$, $r_2 := 4r$, $X_4 := 4X_3/q$, $Y_4 := 4Y_3/q$. Then (3.13) becomes

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} X_4^2 - aY_4^2 &= 4ar_2(-1 + r_2)^2(-1 + ap_3^2 + r_2)q_2^2 \\ &\quad + 4ap_3r_2(28 - 28ap_3^2 - 30r_2 + 4ap_3^2r_2 + 3r_2^2 - r_2^3)q_2 \\ &\quad (-4 + 4ap_3^2 - 4r_2 - r_2^2)(64 - 64ap_3^2 - 48r_2 + 4ap_3^2r_2 + 12r_2^2 - r_2^3). \end{aligned}$$

Because the relation (3.14) is quadratic with respect to q_2 , we may eliminate a linear term of q_2 in the usual manner by putting

$$q_3 := 2q_2 + \frac{p_3(28 - 28ap_3^2 - 30r_2 + 4ap_3^2r_2 + 3r_2^2 - r_2^3)}{(-1 + r_2)^2(-1 + ap_3^2 + r_2)}.$$

Define

$$X_5 := X_4(-1 + r_2)(-1 + ap_3^2 + r_2),$$

$$Y_5 := Y_4(-1 + r_2)(-1 + ap_3^2 + r_2).$$

Then the relation (3.14) becomes

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} X_5^2 - aY_5^2 &= (2 + r_2)^2(-1 + ap_3^2 + r_2)(4 - 4ap_3^2 - 5r_2 + r_2^2)^3 \\ &\quad + ar_2(-1 + r_2)^4(-1 + ap_3^2 + r_2)^3q_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$q_4 := \frac{q_3(-1 + r_2)^2(-1 + ap_3^2 + r_2)}{(2 + r_2)(4 - 4ap_3^2 - 5r_2 + r_2^2)}$$

and

$$X_6 := \frac{X_5}{(2 + r_2)(4 - 4ap_3^2 - 5r_2 + r_2^2)}, \quad Y_6 := \frac{Y_5}{(2 + r_2)(4 - 4ap_3^2 - 5r_2 + r_2^2)}.$$

Then we get $K(x_1, \dots, x_4)^{S_4} = K(X_6, Y_6, p_3, q_4, r_2)$ and (3.15) becomes

$$(3.16) \quad X_6^2 - aY_6^2 = (-1 + ap_3^2 + r_2) \left((4 - 4ap_3^2 - 5r_2 + r_2^2) + ar_2q_4^2 \right).$$

Step 4. We find the singularities of (3.16). We get $p_3 \pm (1/\sqrt{a}) = r_2 = X_6 = Y_6 = 0$. Blow-up with respect to $-1 + ap_3^2$, i.e. define

$$r_3 := r_2/(-1 + ap_3^2), \quad X_7 := X_6/(-1 + ap_3^2), \quad Y_7 := Y_6/(-1 + ap_3^2).$$

Then $K(p_3, q_4, r_2, X_6, Y_6) = K(p_3, q_4, r_3, X_7, Y_7)$ and the relation (3.16) becomes

$$(3.17) \quad X_7^2 - aY_7^2 = (1 + r_3)(-4 - 5r_3 + aq_4^2r_3 - r_3^2 + ap_3^2r_3^2).$$

Define $p_4 := p_3r_3$. Then the relation (3.17) becomes

$$(3.18) \quad X_7^2 - aY_7^2 = (1 + r_3)(-4 - 5r_3 + aq_4^2r_3 - r_3^2 + ap_4^2).$$

Step 5. The equation (3.18) still has a singular locus $p_4 \pm q_4 = r_3 + 1 = X_7 = Y_7 = 0$. Define $p_5 := p_4 + q_4$, $r_4 := r_3 + 1$. Then the relation (3.18) becomes

$$(3.19) \quad X_7^2 - aY_7^2 = r_4(ap_5^2 - 2ap_5q_4 - 3r_4 + aq_4^2r_4 - r_4^2)$$

with singular locus $S = (p_5 = r_4 = X_7 = Y_7 = 0)$. Blow-up (3.19) along S , i.e. define $r_5 := r_4/p_5$, $X_8 := X_7/p_5$, $Y_8 := Y_7/p_5$. Then the relation (3.19) becomes

$$X_8^2 - aY_8^2 = r_5(ap_5 - 2aq_4 - 3r_5 + aq_4^2r_5 - p_5r_5^2).$$

Note that the relation above is linear in p_5 . Hence we conclude that the fixed field $K(x_1, \dots, x_4)^{S_4} = K(X_8, Y_8, q_4, r_5)$ is rational over K . $\square$

4. USING THE STRUCTURES OF RINGS OF INVARIANTS

In this section, we give an another proof of Theorem 1.2 in the case of $n = 3, 4$ and $\text{char } K = 2$ by using the structure of $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{A_n}$.

Throughout this section, we assume that $\text{char } K = 2$.

Let s_i be the elementary symmetric function in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of degree i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Since the invariant ring $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{A_n}$ is a free module of rank 2 over the subring $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n} = K[s_1, \dots, s_n]$ by Revoy's Theorem [Re] (see also [NS, Example 1, page 75]), we will find a free basis of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{A_n}$ over $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$. For $n = 3$ (resp. $n = 4$), it suffices to find a polynomial of degree 3 (resp. 6), which is in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{A_n}$, but doesn't belong to $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ by [NS, Example 1, page 75].

Define

$$\begin{aligned} b_3 &:= \sum_{\sigma \in A_3} \sigma(x_1 x_2^2) = x_1 x_2^2 + x_2 x_3^2 + x_3 x_1^2, \\ b_4 &:= \sum_{\sigma \in A_4} \sigma(x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3) \\ &= x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3 + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 + x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_4 + x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4 + x_1^2 x_3^3 x_4 \\ &\quad + x_1 x_2^3 x_4^2 + x_1^3 x_3 x_4^2 + x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 + x_1^2 x_2 x_4^3 + x_2^2 x_3 x_4^3 + x_1 x_3^2 x_4^3. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 3$ (resp. $n = 4$), it follows that $\{1, b_3\}$ (resp. $\{1, b_4\}$) is a free basis of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]^{A_3}$ (resp. $K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]^{A_4}$), i.e.

$$K[x_1, x_2, x_3]^{A_3} = K[s_1, s_2, s_3] \oplus b_3 K[s_1, s_2, s_3],$$

$$K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]^{A_4} = K[s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4] \oplus b_4 K[s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4].$$

We conclude that

Lemma 4.1. *Let K be a field of char $K = 2$ and write $S_3 = \langle A_3, \tau \rangle$, $S_4 = \langle A_4, \tau \rangle$ where $\tau = (12)$.*

(i) *For $n = 3$, we have*

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{A_3} = K(s_1, s_2, s_3, b_3)$$

and s_1, s_2, s_3, b_3 satisfy the following relation:

$$b_3^2 + b_3 s_1 s_2 + s_2^3 + b_3 s_3 + s_1^3 s_3 + s_3^2 = 0.$$

(ii) For $n = 4$, we have

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{A_4} = K(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, b_4)$$

and s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, b_4 satisfy the following relation:

$$b_4^2 + b_4 s_1 s_2 s_3 + b_4 s_3^2 + s_2^3 s_3^2 + s_1^3 s_3^3 + s_3^4 + b_4 s_1^2 s_4 + s_1^2 s_2^3 s_4 + s_1^4 s_4^2 = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 when $n = 3$ and $\text{char } K = 2$ —————

Note that τ acts on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{A_3} = K(s_1, s_2, s_3, b_3)$ as follows

$$s_1 \mapsto \frac{as_2}{s_3}, \quad s_2 \mapsto \frac{a^2 s_1}{s_3}, \quad s_3 \mapsto \frac{a^3}{s_3}, \quad b_3 \mapsto \frac{a^3 b_3}{s_3^2}.$$

Apply Theorem 2.2. We find $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{A_3} = K(s_3, u, v)$ where u and v are the same as in Theorem 2.2. It is not difficult to verify that

$$u = \frac{b_3 + s_3}{s_1^2 + s_2}, \quad v = \frac{b_3 + s_1 s_2}{s_1^2 + s_2}.$$

Moreover, the action of τ is given by

$$\tau : s_3 \mapsto \frac{a^3}{s_3}, \quad u \mapsto \frac{au}{u^2 - uv + v^2}, \quad v \mapsto \frac{av}{u^2 - uv + v^2}.$$

Define $w := u/v$. Then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{A_3} = K(s_3, v, w)$ and

$$\tau : s_3 \mapsto \frac{a^3}{s_3}, \quad v \mapsto \frac{a}{v(1 - w + w^2)}, \quad w \mapsto w.$$

By Theorem 2.1, $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{S_3} = K(s_3, v, w)^{(\tau)}$ is rational over K . □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 when $n = 4$ and $\text{char } K = 2$ —————

For $n = 4$, τ acts on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{A_4} = K(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, b_4)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &\mapsto \frac{as_3}{s_4}, & s_2 &\mapsto \frac{a^2 s_2}{s_4}, & s_3 &\mapsto \frac{a^3 s_1}{s_4}, \\ s_4 &\mapsto \frac{a^4}{s_4}, & b_4 &\mapsto \frac{a^6(b_4 + s_1 s_2 s_3 + s_3^2 + s_1^2 s_4)}{s_4^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$t_1 := \frac{s_1 s_3}{s_2}, \quad t_2 := s_2, \quad t_3 = s_3, \quad t_4 := \frac{s_1 s_2 s_3 + s_3^2 + s_1^2 s_4}{s_2^2}, \quad t_5 := \frac{b_4 + s_2^3}{s_2}.$$

It follows that $K(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, b_4) = K(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)$. It is easy to check that the relation among the generators $t_1, \dots, t_5$ is given by

$$t_1^3 + t_1^2 t_2 + t_1 t_2^2 + t_2^3 + t_2 t_4^2 + t_2 t_4 t_5 + t_2 t_5^2 = 0.$$

Define

$$u_1 := t_1, \quad u_2 := t_2/t_1, \quad u_3 := t_3, \quad u_4 := t_4/(t_1 + t_2), \quad u_5 := t_5/(t_1 + t_2).$$

Then we get $K(t_1, \dots, t_5) = K(u_1, \dots, u_5)$ with the relation

$$u_2(u_4^2 + u_4 u_5 + u_5^2 + 1) + 1 = 0.$$

Because this relation is linear in u_2 , we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. $K(x_1, \dots, x_4)^{A_4} = K(u_1, u_3, u_4, u_5)$ where

$$u_1 = \frac{s_1 s_3}{s_2}, \quad u_3 = s_3, \quad u_4 = \frac{s_1 s_2 s_3 + s_3^2 + s_1^2 s_4}{s_2(s_2^2 + s_1 s_3)}, \quad u_5 = \frac{b_4 + s_2^3}{s_2(s_2^2 + s_1 s_3)}.$$

Now we will prove Theorem 1.2 when $n = 4$ and $\text{char } K = 2$.

Write $p = u_1, q = u_3, r = u_4, s = u_5$ and $\tau = (12) \in S_4 \setminus A_4$. Note that $K(x_1, \dots, x_4)^{S_4} = K(p, q, r, s)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ and the action of τ on $K(p, q, r, s)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : p &\longmapsto \frac{r^2 + rs + s^2 + 1}{ap}, \\ q &\longmapsto \frac{a^3 p^6 q}{(r^2 + rs + s^2 + 1)^3 + p^3 q((r+1)(r^2 + rs + s^2 + 1) + 1)}, \\ r &\longmapsto r, \quad s \longmapsto s + r. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$t := \frac{(r^2 + rs + s^2 + 1)^3}{p^3 q((r+1)(r^2 + rs + s^2 + 1) + 1)}.$$

Then we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{S_4} = K(p, q, r, s)^{\langle \tau \rangle} = K(p, t, r, s)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ and the action of τ on $K(p, t, r, s)$ is given by

$$\tau : p \longmapsto \frac{r^2 + rs + s^2 + 1}{ap}, \quad t \longmapsto t + 1, \quad r \longmapsto r, \quad s \longmapsto s + r.$$

Define

$$A := r + s + rt, \quad B := (r + s)/s, \quad C := pr/s.$$

It follows that $K(p, q, r, s) = K(r, A, B, C)$. Thus $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{S_4} = K(r)(A, B, C)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ and

$$\tau : r \longmapsto r, \quad A \longmapsto A, \quad B \longmapsto \frac{1}{B}, \quad C \longmapsto \frac{1}{a} \left((r^2 + 1) \left(\frac{1}{B} + B \right) + r^2 \right) / C.$$

Apply Theorem 2.1. We find that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{S_4}$ is rational over K . $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Ha] M. Hajja, Linear and monomial automorphisms of fields of rational functions: some elementary issues, in “Algebra and number theory” edited by M. Boulagouaz and J. P. Tignol, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 208, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [HK1] M. Hajja and M. Kang, Three-dimensional purely monomial actions, J. Algebra **170** (1994) 805–860.
- [HK2] M. Hajja, and M. Kang, Some actions of symmetric groups, J. Algebra **177** (1995) 511–535.
- [HK3] M. Hajja and M. Kang, Twisted actions of symmetric group, J. Algebra **188** (1997) 626–647.
- [Is] V. A. Iskovskikh, Towards the problem of rationality of conic bundles, in “Algebraic geometry” edited by S. Bloch, I. Dolgachev and W. Fulton, LNM vol. 1479, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [Ka1] M. Kang, The rationality problem of finite group actions, in “Proceedings of ICCM, Beijing, 1998”, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Math. vol. **8**, 2001.
- [Ka2] M. Kang, Rationality problem of GL_4 group actions, Adv. Math. **181** (2004) 321–352.
- [Ka3] M. Kang, Some rationality problems revisited, in “Proceedings of ICCM, Hangzhou, 2007”, Higher Education Press (Beijing) and International Press (Sommerville), 2007.
- [Ku] H. Kuniyoshi, On a problem of Chevalley, Nagoya Math. J. **8** (1955) 65–67.
- [Mae] T. Maeda, Noether’s problem for A_5 . J. Algebra **125** (1989) 418–430.
- [Mas] K. Masuda, On a problem of Chevalley, Nagoya Math. J. **8** (1955) 59–63.
- [NS] M. D. Neusel and L. Smith, Invariant theory of finite groups, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2002.
- [Re] P. Revoy, Anneau des invariants du groupe alterne, Bull. Sci. Math. **106** (1982) 427–431.
- [Sh] I. R. Shafarevich, Basic algebraic geometry vol. 1, second revised and expanded edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.